Inserted notes Additional Notes and Comments

In the course of checking through the volume, on p.218 it suddenly occurred to me that the Commission has either been misrepresenting the testimony or allowed the testimony to deceive it or be misrepresented to it with respect to the cars to have been used to take Oswald to the county jail. All the text describes these cars as by plan to follow the armored car out that exit. Whether or not this was the case, the Commission's illustrations do not show it. Additionally, the photograph that the Commission prints disprove it. The car visible in the picture of the assassination of Oswald is clearly pointing in the opposite direction. I suggest the fact that the Commission ignored this and prepared its charts or had its charts prepared for it in a way that would not make this clear is, in itself, a gross deception, and this whole thing is suggestive of a serious error, to use the most polite possible word, on the part of the police. The kindest thing that can be said for the Commission is that it was extreme carelessness.

References in this report are pp.210, 215, 216, 217 and 218.

Also, Newsweek in its issue of December 9, 1963, states unequivocally that the Mexican police had had Oswald under surveillance. It should be a reasonable presumption that, if this happened, it was at the request of the United States Government. There is no inference or suggestion of this, it is not mentioned in the section on speculation, it is completely ignored, and it would tend to disporve much of what the FBI said about their not having him under surveillance, not watching him in any way, etc., until after this period.